Hell is other browsers - Sartre

The Open Web goes Mobile

Peter-Paul Koch (ppk) http://quirksmode.org http://twitter.com/ppk Google, 24 April 2009

- Four problems with making a website work well on a phone:
- Small memory
- Small display
- Flaky browsers
- Flaky connections

Four problems with making a website phone-compatible: - Small memory

Performance tests are necessary.

And I'll leave it at that.

- Four problems with making a website phone-compatible:
- Small memory
- Small display

This problem has been recognized years ago, and people are thinking about it.

Small display

CSS: solve it with media queries

@media all and (max-width: 300px) {
 div#container {
 // special styles for small displays
 }
}

Supported by Opera, iPhone, Bolt and Iris.

Small display

JS: solve it with offsetWidth

if (document.body.offsetWidth < 300) {
 // special scripts for small displays
}</pre>

offsetWidth and offsetHeight seem well supported (except on Blackberry).

- Four problems with making a website phone-compatible:
- Small memory
- Small display
- Flaky browsers
- Flaky connections



Thanks to Vodafone's generous support I'm now able to deliver a preliminary report on the State of the Mobile Browsers.

- Android WebKit
- Opera Mobile
- NetFront
- Safari
- Opera Mini
- Blackberry
- S60 WebKit
- IE Mobile
- Iris, Bolt, Skyfire, Obigo, OpenWeb, Nokia S40, Palm Blazer, Fennec, Teashark etc. etc.

You may groan now.

All these browsers have their own problems with advanced CSS and JavaScript.

Worse, you have to test really basic stuff, too such as *font-style: italic* in my test cases.

font-weight: 700

font-style: italic

text-decoration: underline

TEXT-TRANSFORM: UPPERCASE

FONT -VARIANT : SMALL -CAPS

color: blue

letter-spacing: 0.3em

word-spacing: 1em word-spacing: 1em

font-size: 150%





Opera Mobile 9.5 on HTC Diamond Touch

Supported but only in desktop mode





S60 WebKit on Nokia E71

Supported, but error in font-variant

NOKIA

1

E71

G Basic font CSS - mobile TEXT-TRANSFORM: UPPERCASE

FONT-VARIANT: SMALL-CAPS

color: blue

letter-spacing: 0.3em

word-spacing: 1em word-Menu 11:50 Back



Opera Mini 4.2 on Nokia E71

Supported except for letterspacing





NetFront on Sony Ericsson C510

Basics supported

MOTOROLA

And Some States of the set of the

font-weight: 700 font-style: italic text-decoration: underline TEXT-TRANSFORM: UPPERCASE font-variant: small-caps color: blue

letter-spacing: 0.3em word-spacing: 1em word-spacing: 1em font-size: 150%

Back

Options



Opera Mobile 8.00 on Motorola V3xx

Only color and texttransform supported

- The current top level mobile browsers are:
- Android WebKit
- Safari
- Opera Mobile

Top level: Android WebKit, Safari, Opera Mobile

Mid level:

- S60 WebKit
- Blackberry
- Opera Mini

Top level: Android WebKit, Safari, Opera Mobile

Mid level: S60 WebKit, Blackberry, Opera Mini

Bottom level:

- NetFront
- IE Mobile (old)

Top level: Android WebKit, Safari, Opera Mobile Mid level: S60 WebKit, Blackberry, Opera Mini Bottom level: NetFront, IE Mobile (old)

Other default browsers (old): - OpenWeb, Nokia S40, Palm Blazer

Top level: Android WebKit, Safari, Opera Mobile Mid level: S60 WebKit, Blackberry, Opera Mini Bottom level: NetFront, IE Mobile (old) Other default browsers (old): OpenWeb, Nokia S40, Palm Blazer Other browsers (non-default): - Iris, Bolt, Skyfire, Obigo, Fennec, Teashark etc. etc.

- Four problems with making a website phone-compatible:
- Small memory
- Small display
- Flaky browsers
- Flaky connections

- Four problems with making a website phone-compatible:
- Small memory
- Small display
- Flaky browsers
- Flaky connections

If the guy next to you is downloading a few movies your network connection will slow down regardless of how good it's supposed to be.

I don't see this problem disappearing any time soon.

This is a serious problem for the mobile web, especially when your site uses 200K of custom JavaScript plus a few libraries.

They have to be downloaded every time the user visits your site and caching isn't always reliable.

Solution: Put the core files on your mobile phone

so that you only need to download the data.

W3C Widgets offer this solution:

- Local applications
- HTML/CSS/JavaScript
- Run in a browser (any browser)
- Can handle Ajax requests

- The same approach is taken by all
- app systems:
- iPhone apps
- Windows Mobile apps
- Blackberry apps
- Android apps
- etc.

- The same approach is taken by all app systems:
- iPhone apps (proprietary)
- Windows Mobile apps (proprietary)
- Blackberry apps (proprietary)
- Android apps (proprietary)
- etc. (probably proprietary)

Open standards

If a company wants to put data on mobile phones, it can

- create a website (which may be slow to load)
- or create 4 or more separate applications (which is certainly expensive)

Open standards

- If a company wants to put data on mobile phones, it can <u>- create a website (which may be slow</u> <u>to load)</u>
- or create 4 or more separate - applications (which is certainly - expensive)
- use W3C Widgets

Widgets are better than websites because they download only the data; and not the core files.

Widgets are better than app systems because you don't have to write 4, 5, or 10 of them. Just the one is enough.

Eventually, I'll be able to share a widget with a friend via Bluetooth, even if I use an Android and he uses a Nokia S60 or a HTC Windows Mobile or a Blackberry

and It Just Works

Wouldn't that be totally astoundingly absolutely inconceivably interoperable?

And hundreds of thousands of web developers *already know* how to create widgets.

It's just HTML/CSS/JavaScript, after all.

- Create 1 HTML page with as much CSS, JavaScript, and images you need.
- Add an icon and a config.xmlZip the lot
- Change extension to .wgt
- It Just Works.

Widgets will open the web faster and for more people than any other system.

If people can easily create them and share them with their friends and They Just Work why do we need anything else?
Application systems

An app system may remain more suited for some forms of applications:

- animation-heavy games
- secure applications
- more ... ?

Application systems

Besides, native app systems can foster innovation, too, and eventually W3C Widgets will profit from that.

Proprietary systems are fine as long as you *also* support the standard.

It Just Works.

But not quite yet, unfortunately.

That's one of the reasons I'm here today.

It Just Works

in the Vodafone Widget Manager for S60 phones.

It Just Works S60

in the Opera/T-Mobile Widget Manager for (probably) Windows Mobile phones.

It Just Works S60 Windows Mobile

in the Nokia Widget Runtime on S60 (as long as you add an info.plist file)

It Just Works S60 (2x) Windows Mobile

Otherwise, though, there's no support.

Yet.

It Just Works. S60 (2x) Windows Mobile Google Android?

It Just Works in Google Android?

I came here to ask if it's possible Google Android will support W3C Widgets not *instead of* <u>but *in addition to* its own app system.</u>

- We need:
- a browser (Android WebKit will do perfectly fine, thanks)
- a way of associating .wgt files with this browser OR an installation mechanism
- JavaScript device APIs

JavaScript Device APIs

are APIs that grant access to phone functionality

- camera
- contact list
- text messages
- etc.

JavaScript Device APIs

are necessary for a true mobile experience.

W3C widgets should be able to tie into phone functionality.

JavaScript Device APIs

BONDI specification (not yet implemented)
Phonegap library (Android, Blackberry, iPhone)
Opera/T-Mobile widget manager (Windows Mobile)

JavaScript Device APIs Security

If I receive a widget from someone and it uses device APIs how do I know it's not going to try to steal my contact list?

JavaScript Device APIs Security

This problem will probably be solved by signed widgets and security levels.

On the lowest security levels, phone users will be prompted for every device API call the widget wants to perform. Higher levels do it automatically.

JavaScript Device APIs Security

Still, this problem will remain pretty serious and more research is necessary.

Google can certainly help us figure out a good answer.

W3C Widgets Security

JavaScript's same-source policy is not implemented in widgets, because they have to be able to request data from any source.

This, too, requires more thought.

- Pros
- Open standards
- Countless people can already create them
- Interoperability on a massive scale
 They'll open the Web more quickly than any other system

- Cons
- Other systems may remain better suited for certain applications
- As yet moderately supportedSecurity issues

The pros heavily outweigh the cons.

So let's get to work.

Thank you for your attention

Questions?

Ask away.

Or ask me on Twitter http://twitter.com/**ppk** or on my site http://quirksmode.org